

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/040,573		FENTON ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	PETER POLTORAK		2434		

All Participants:

(1) PETER POLTORAK.

(2) Joseph Lally.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1,3,14

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative authorized the examiner to amend the present application as set forth in the attached Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: RCE

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: 1 PM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)